

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES ILEPAR MENT OF COMMERCE United States Paten and Trademark Office Address: COMPTISSIONED FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 14(1) Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usgio.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/759,183	01/12/2001	Yoichiro Igarashi	. FUJR 18.213	9980	
26304 KATTUKN MIT	7590 01/24/2007 CHIN ROSENMAN LLP	EXAMINER .			
575 MADISON AVENUE			LAZARO, DAVID R		
NEW YORK, NY 10022-2585			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2155		
		•			
		•	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			01/24/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/759,183	IGARASHI ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
David Lazaro	2155		

Detert and the same spiral Direct	Examiner	Artonit					
2	David Lazaro	2155					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 03 January 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS							
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	n the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, af otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in t	Appeal. To avoid aba fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	rce, which FR 41.31; or (3)				
a) \boxtimes The period for reply expires $\underline{5}$ months from the mailing date	•						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	dension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as				
	maliana with 27 CED 44 27 much	h a dila diidhi a di					
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>01/03/07</u>. A brief in co of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must b AMENDMENTS 	xtension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e))	, to avoid dismissal of	the appeal.				
	hara and a salahara da salahara Seliman anda salah						
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co 	nsideration and/or search (see NO		ecause				
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	• •						
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	tter form for appeal by materially re	ducing or simplifying	the issues for				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.					
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1	* **		(DTOL 224)				
 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 	- ·	ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a		timely filed emendme	ent concoling the				
non-allowable claim(s).			-				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		Il be entered and an e	explanation of				
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 							
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fai	ls to provide a				
10. 🗌 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.							
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER							
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).						
In him Som							
SUPF%	VISORY PATENT EXAMINER	David Lazaro January 9, 2007					
301 191	THE TAXABILITY OF THE PARTY OF	•					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06) Continuation of 3. NOTE: The amendment, particularly the limitations added to claim 18, would require further consideration and/or search.